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## Executive summary

Two estates of Teak plantations namely Chon Dean 1 and Chon Dean 224 , managed by Asia Teak Tropical Plantation are normally inspected by Mr.J.M.P. Jayalath, Mr.ErandaRathnamalala and me. However due to Corvid-19 travel restriction, Thailand inventory team carried out the usual inventories and auditing of the tree stocks of two plantations. All the sample data were collected throughout audit process under close supervision of them. Inventory data collected from two plantations were received to me and I analyzed the data and prepared this report. Comparing with previous year tree growth rate, relevant data, tree growth parameters collected for 2021 year are acceptable .

## Chon Dean 01 estate

Twenty sample plots having with total sample area of 30048 m 2 have been permanently setup in different locations in Chon Dean 01 estate. It is found by this study that total estimated planted area is 27.92 ha and sample plots represent $10.7 \%$ of population. In this study, 985 trees were measured for DBH measurement. Due to unavoidable circumstance of Covid 19, Tree height measurement, total block tree number, good trees, tree marked for thinning and reserved tree were not takenin this year.

The average DBH of trees in the estate is 25 cm . It is found that average trees per ha is 344 . Details of block wise tree information are shown in table (3.4). It was observed that minor errors have occurred when counting number of trees in few blocks comparing with last year. It is absolutely negligible and acceptable in forest inventory as human errors.

After analyzing the last 9 years of DBH data (2013-2021), periodic increment for block no. 8 is 1.05 cm per year and this figure for block 05 is very low as 0.44 cm per year.

Analyzing inventory tree data it is found that more than $80 \%$ of trees are having DBH more than 21 cm . (see graph 3.1 page (16) and table 3.2 and 3.2 .1 (page 21-22). The total tree number under this category is 7348 out of 9136 . This figure was 7020 in year 2020 tree inventory. These findings can be used for future planning of thinning and final mode of harvest.

## Chon Dean 224 estate

Nineteen sample plots having with total sample area of 2736 m 2 have been permanently setup in different locations in Chon Dean 224 estate. It is found by this study that total estimated planted area is 2.56 ha and sample plots represent $10.6 \%$ of population. In this study, 131 trees were measured for DBH measurement. Due to unavoidable circumstance of Covid 19, Tree height measurement, total block tree number, good trees, tree marked for thinning and reserved tree were not taken in this year.

The inventory ( tree count data) results shows that there are 1235 trees. The average tree DBH in the estate 224 are 20.5 cm . It is found that average trees per ha is 481. Details of block wise information are shown in table 3.3 and page 21.

After analyzing the tree growth rate and other relevant factors, the age of the plantation can be estimated as approximately 15 years old.Based on that and mean DBH value for year $2021(20.5 \mathrm{~cm})$ the mean increment for DBH can be calculated as 1.36 cm per year. However we have DBH data only for 2018,2020 and 2021. Therefore it is not possible to find correct periodic increment for the plantation.

Analyzing inventory tree data it is found that more than $84.5 \%$ of trees are having DBH more than 17 cm . (see graph 3.2 in page 20 and table 3.2.in page 21 ). The total tree number under this diameter category has increased from 850 to1045 during the last year.

These findings can be used for future planning of thinning and final mode of harvest. If we carefully and scientifically handle this valuable tree information, we will able to achieve highest turnover from these two plantations at end of felling rotation.

Finally it can be concluded that both teak plantation are healthy and good condition according to received information. There are much more potential to get more growth increment particularly for tree stem diameter for next coming years if the plantation is maintained and managed scientifically.

## 1. Introduction

### 1.1. General Introduction of Teak (Tectonagrandis) Plantation

Teak (TectonagrandisL.f.) is a highly valuable timber in International trade sought by wood industries to produce good quality furniture and wood for house construction, carving, shipbuilding and many other purposes andTeak is an important timber species for tropical forestry. Today teak is a profitable plantation crop promoted by government agencies, the private sector and farmers.Teak plantations are widely established across Indonesia,Thailand, Sri Lanka etc. in some places, they have become an inseparable part of local cultural and socioeconomic systems.

## Bole form

Fluting (irregular involutions and swellings) in the teak stem has been observed in a number of plantations. In some study, the mean heritability value of stem straightness was found to be 0.83 , indicating that the character for stem straightness is strongly controlled by provenance and is thus genetically inherited (Kaosa-ard, 1999). Hence, fluting can be minimized if the appropriate provenance is used in breeding trials to produce plants that exhibit straight stems. The most important form characteristic determining the value of teak logs is the length of the clear bole.

### 1.2. Activities of teak stand maintenance

Teak grows well, grows fast, and produces high-quality timber when the land and trees are well maintained. Maintenance includes weeding, fertilizing, replanting, pruning, thinning, maintaining coppices and controlling pests and diseases.

### 1.2.1. Pruning

Pruning is the removal of branches which increases clear bole height and reduces knots on the main stem


Recommended height to which branches should be pruned

### 1.2.2. Thinning

By competition for light, water and nutrients is greater in closely spaced plantations causing slower tree growth and tall, skinny stems. Thinning will encourage better growth for the good quality trees that remain.

### 1.3. Spacing

The spacing of trees and the number, timing and intensity of thinning strongly affect the pattern of growth and the yield of the plantation. If thinning is practiced late, growth rates decline or cease, whereas if the stand is thinned too early or too heavily, the trees have a greater tendency to produce side branches and epicormic shoots. This also reduces the potential yield of the plantation since growth is diverted from the main stem, which should be free from defects such as those caused by side branches and epicormic shoots.

Table A: Trees left after thinning based on tree height

| Tree height <br> $(\mathbf{m})$ | Trees remaining <br> (trees/ha) | Age (yr) <br> (range based <br> on soil fertility) | Spacing (m) |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $11.0-13.0$ | $1300-1500$ | $5-11$ | $2.5-3.0$ |
| $13.5-15.5$ | $1000-1100$ | $7-17$ | 3.0 |
| $15.5-17.0$ | $800-850$ | $10-21$ | 3.5 |
| $17.5-21.0$ | $500-550$ | $15-34$ | $4.0-4.5$ |



### 1.3.1. Teak growth parameters

Height $(\mathrm{H})$ and diameter at breast height (dbh) are the most important measures of tree growth and their relationship is useful in determining site-index, calculating tree volume, evaluating site-quality and predicting future growth of the stand (Jayaraman and Zakrzewski,2001).

Following growth information published by researchers can be used to develop the yield prediction table for present teak plantation of Asia Teak group.

(a) Teak growth curve : DBH against age (b)Teak growth curve : Total height against age

Table 1. Growth parameters of Teak governed by site quality of some other countries

| Site quality 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| age | No.of <br> stems/ha | Top <br> height $(\mathrm{m})$ | DBH $(\mathrm{cm})$ | Per Tree <br> volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3)$ | Trees <br> volume <br> /ha | MAI(m3/ha/year | CIA(m3/ha/year |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1111 | 8 | 6.9 | - | - | - | 9.9 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 776 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 0.03 | 27.2 | 5.4 | 13.6 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 542 | 17.6 | 18.6 | 0.102 | 55.3 | 7.6 | 11.3 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 379 | 19.3 | 22.2 | 0.259 | 98.5 | 9.7 | 13.7 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 265 | 21.3 | 27.0 | 0.449 | 119.0 | 7.9 | 5.2 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 185 | 21.7 | 31.5 | 0.62 | 115.3 | 7.1 | 4.3 |

Table 1.1. Growth parameters of Teak governed by site quality of some other countries

| Site quality 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| age | No.of <br> stems/ha | Top <br> height(m) | DBH(cm) | Per Tree <br> volume <br> $(\mathrm{m} 3)$ | Trees <br> volume <br> m3/ha | MAI(m3/ha/year | CIA(m3/ha/year |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 1111 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11.3 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 754 | 14.4 | 14.2 | 0.04 | 30.2 | 6 | 15.1 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 512 | 19.3 | 20.5 | 0.15 | 76.8 | 10.4 | 17.8 |
| $\mathbf{1 2}$ | 347 | 22.1 | 25.5 | 0.310 | 107.6 | 11 | 12 |
| $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 236 | 23.9 | 30.7 | 0.619 | 146.3 | 9.7 | 7.8 |
| $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 160 | 24.3 | 36.1 | 0.85 | 136 | 8.7 | 4.5 |


| Age (years) | $H_{0}$ | Main crop before thinning |  |  |  |  | Crop removed |  |  |  |  | Main crop after thinning |  |  |  |  | Total crop |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $N$ | $D_{\mathrm{g}}$ | $G$ | V | Hart | $N$ | $D_{\mathrm{g}}$ | G | V | Vt | $N$ | $D_{\mathrm{g}}$ | G | V | Hart | VT | MAI | CAI |
| Quality 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 8.6 | 1111 | 7.5 | 4.9 | 0 | 34.9 | 399 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 712 | 9.4 | 4.9 | 0 | 43.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 15.3 | 712 | 15.2 | 13.0 | 49.8 | 24.5 | 256 | 12.1 | 2.9 | 12.5 | 12.5 | 456 | 16.8 | 10.1 | 37.3 | 30.6 | 49.8 | 9.9 | 24.9 |
| 8 | 21.0 | 456 | 22.7 | 18.5 | 114.0 | 22.3 | 164 | 19.5 | 4.9 | 28.7 | 41.2 | 292 | 24.4 | 13.6 | 85.3 | 27.9 | 126.5 | 15.8 | 25.6 |
| 12 | 24.3 | 292 | 29.0 | 19.3 | 137.2 | 24.1 | 105 | 24.8 | 5.1 | 34.5 | 75.8 | 187 | 31.1 | 14.2 | 102.7 | 30.1 | 178.5 | 14.9 | 13.0 |
| 20 | 26.5 | 187 | 35.9 | 19.0 | 157.1 | 27.6 | 67 | 31.8 | 5.3 | 39.4 | 115.2 | 120 | 38.1 | 13.7 | 117.7 | 34.4 | 232.9 | 11.6 | 6.8 |
| 25 | 27.0 | 120 | 43.9 | 18.2 | 133.2 | 33.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 248.4 | 9.9 | 3.1 |
| Quality 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 8.3 | 1111 | 7.2 | 4.6 | 0 | 36.1 | 357 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 754 | 8.8 | 4.6 | 0 | 43.9 | 0 | 0 | 11.3 |
| 5 | 14.4 | 754 | 14.2 | 11.9 | 30.2 | 25.3 | 242 | 9.4 | 1.7 | 6.78 | 6.78 | 512 | 16.0 | 10.2 | 23.4 | 30.7 | 30.2 | 6.0 | 15.1 |
| 8 | 19.3 | 512 | 20.5 | 16.9 | 76.8 | 22.9 | 165 | 15.7 | 3.2 | 17.3 | 24.1 | 347 | 22.4 | 13.7 | 59.5 | 27.8 | 83.6 | 10.4 | 17.8 |
| 12 | 22.1 | 347 | 25.5 | 17.7 | 107.6 | 24.3 | 111 | 21.1 | 3.9 | 24.1 | 48.2 | 236 | 27.3 | 13.8 | 83.5 | 29.5 | 131.7 | 11.0 | 12.0 |
| 20 | 23.9 | 236 | 30.7 | 17.4 | 146.3 | 27.2 | 76 | 28.7 | 4.9 | 33.0 | 81.2 | 160 | 31.5 | 12.5 | 113.3 | 33.1 | 194.5 | 9.7 | 7.8 |
| 25 | 24.3 | 160 | 36.1 | 16.4 | 136.0 | 32.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 217.2 | 8.7 | 4.5 |
| Quality 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 8.0 | 1111 | 6.9 | 4.2 | 0 | 37.5 | 335 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 776 | 8.3 | 4.2 | 0 | 44.9 | 0 | 0 | 9.9 |
| 5 | 13.4 | 776 | 13.1 | 10.5 | 27.2 | 26.8 | 234 | 9.1 | 1.5 | 5.73 | 5.733 | 542 | 14.5 | 9.0 | 21.43 | 32.1 | 27.2 | 5.4 | 13.6 |
| 8 | 17.6 | 542 | 18.6 | 14.7 | 55.3 | 24.4 | 163 | 13.6 | 2.4 | 11.6 | 17.37 | 379 | 20.3 | 12.3 | 43.65 | 29.2 | 61.0 | 7.6 | 11.3 |
| 12 | 19.3 | 379 | 22.2 | 14.7 | 98.5 | 26.6 | 114 | 20.7 | 3.8 | 20.7 | 38.12 | 265 | 22.8 | 10.8 | 77.79 | 31.8 | 115.9 | 9.7 | 13.7 |
| 20 | 21.3 | 265 | 27.0 | 15.2 | 119.0 | 28.8 | 80 | 25.9 | 4.2 | 25.1 | 63.26 | 185 | 27.4 | 10.9 | 93.84 | 34.5 | 157.1 | 7.9 | 5.2 |
| 25 | 21.7 | 185 | 31.5 | 14.4 | 115.3 | 33.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 178.5 | 7.1 | 4.3 |

[^0]Other studies have indicated that wood density and mechanical properties are independent of growth rate or that fast-grown trees of ring-porous species have higher wood density andstrength (Harris, 1981; Bhat, Bhat and Dhamodaran, 1987; Rajput, Shukla and Lai, 1991). More recently, a study on the wood properties of fast-
grown plantation teak trees of different ages revealed that there were no significant differences in wood density, modulus of rupture (MOR), modulus of elasticity (MOE) or maximum crushing stress (Bhat, 1998). It was concluded that young trees ( 13 to 21 years of age) are not necessarily inferior in wood density and strength to older trees aged 55 and 65 years, and hence that the rotation age of fast-grown teak wood can be reduced without affecting the timber strength.

### 1.4. Forest Plantation Audit process and Objectives

Forest Audits generally assess and compliance with the forest management planning manual and the effectiveness of forest management activities in meeting the objectives set out in the forest management plan.

The specific objectives of forest Audit are to assess to what extent forest management planning activities comply with forest management plan and forest management principles. Another objective is to compare the planned forest management activities with actual activities undertaken and to remedy shortcoming identified in a previous audit. At finally the audit provide a conclusion stating whether or not the forest is being managed consistently with principles of sustainable forest management to achieve the set objectives of forest management plan. Present teak plantations need to be prepared the comprehensive forest management plan with set objectives.

### 1.4.1. Requirement for conducting the audit

There is sufficient or appropriate information to conduct the audit, in addition there are adequate resources and co-operation from the auditee to conduct audit process. The audit team must be independent.
1.5. Objectives of present forest inventory and Audit of Teak Plantation in Chon Dean Estate in
Thailand Thailand

1. To inventory the teak plantation to get Teak tree stock and tree growth parameters.
2. To decide next silvicultural treatments such as pruning, thinning and some maintenance activities of plantation like fire lines, weeding, fertilizing based on information gathered from forest inventory and field examination.
3. To predict future tree growth,timber production and estimated timbervalue. This forecasting will help to take the remedial measures to manage the plantation efficiently to achieve the maximum benefit from the plantation.
4. To remedy shortcoming identified in a previous audit and assess the forest management activities.

## 2. Methodology of Forest inventory

Sound forest management depends on the quantity and quality of information available on the forest. This information is obtained from forest inventories. Forest inventory is the activity of data collection that helps generating the required information base on the forest resource within an area of interest. There are three main factors, which influence the cost of an inventory: Type of information required; Standard of accuracy; Size of area to be surveyed and the minimum size of unit area in the forest.

A good forest inventory;
a) Should be conform to the objectives
b) Should provide adequate precision
c) Methodologically sound \& follow statistical sampling criteria
d) Have comprehensive transparent reporting \& documentation
e) Overall credibility

In this inventory process, impotency of the above criteria is considered and followed.

### 2.1. The following items are recommended for conducting forest inventory and monitoring exercises

Items needed for all field inventory or assessments Field assessment datasheets (current and previous) Field vest, Plastic flagging (at least three different colors) Mechanical pencils, Sharpie permanent ink pen, Compass, Calculator, Small Ruler (metric \& English), 75’ or 100’ Spencer tape w/dbh tape, Clinometer, Clipboard or datum,Stand map, plots mapped, Small pocket sized notebook, Digital camera,Numbered tree tags (check for numbers that have not been used) Unmarked bearing tree tags for scribing,Rebar\& plastic pipes (for replacement if missing), Tree paint (spray can): orange or other bright color, First-aid kit, Water, Cell phone.

### 2.1.1. Temporary vs. Permanent Plots

When conducting a forest inventory, most landowners install temporary plots. When the stand is reinventoried in the future, plot locations are different. This is the simplest inventory method and is recommended for landowners who have minimal time to devote to forest inventory. Permanent inventory plots are often used on large ownerships and are the most precise method of monitoring forest change over time. To establish "permanent" plots, plot centers or corners are marked with a stake or other marker and the variables of the forest stand within the plot are re-measured through time.

Asia Teak Group audit inventory the permanent square shape plots are used and for forest management review works temporary circular plots were used.


Figure 2.1. Plot number and one corner post of square shape plot in Chon dean estate

### 2.2. Plotshape

In this study, square plot are used and suggested plot size based on the stocking shown bellow. However we have used $40 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m}$ square shape plots in most of time.


| STOCKING RATE <br> (STEMS/HECTARE) | PLOT SIZE <br> (HECTARES) | RADIUS OF CIRCULAR <br> PLOT (METRES) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 100 | 0.2 | 25.2 |
| 200 | 0.1 | 17.8 |
| 400 | 0.05 | 12.6 |
| 500 | 0.04 | 11.3 |
| 600 | 0.033 | 10.2 |
| 800 | 0.025 | 8.9 |
| $1000+$ | 0.02 | 8.0 |

Various plot shapes

### 2.3. Basics of mensuration (Tree variables measurement)

(a) Diameter measurement of a single standing tree
(b) The diameter at breast height (dbh)

The standard position for diameter measurement at standing tree is at breast height. It is defined at 1.30 meter above ground in most countries. Calipers and diameter tape are the most commonly used instruments.

### 2.3.1. Diametertape

There are diameters tapes from which the tree diameter can be directly read. Tree diameter can also be determined from circumference measurement which can be done by diameter tape or any tape since circular tree stem shape is assumed.
$\mathrm{C}=2 \pi \mathrm{r}=\mathrm{d} ; \quad \mathrm{d}=\mathrm{C} / \pi$
In this study, Diameter tape is used.


Figure 2.2: Diameter at breast height (1.3m) is measured by diameter tape. Inventory team follows all the standard and rules recommended in this regard.

### 2.4. Positions of diameter measurement at different conditions

We followed following standard governing rules when take measurement of diameter at breast height of tree stem. Ex: clean the bole surface where we measure the stem diameter, diameter tape always correctly handled and read data carefully for reporting.


### 2.5. Tree height measurement

Height is a tree variable that is used to estimate or determine the volume of a tree. The total height is the distance between the ground and top of the tree and bole height is the distance between the ground and the Crown Point.Merchantable height: the distance between the ground and the terminal position of the last useable portion of the tree stem.Tree height is defined to be the perpendicular distance between the ground level and the top of the tree. While, Tree length is the distance between the stem foot and the top along the stem

### 2.5.1. Method of tree height measurement

There are two methods; one is direct method which involves using height measuring rods only for small trees. Other method we used is trigonometric principles.Sunnto hypsometer used as instrument for this purpose


Figure 2.3. Total Tree height was measured by hypsometer, used instrument is shown in right side


Figure 2.4. Correct horizontal distance between tree and height observer is being positioned

### 2.6. General steps for Hypsometer are bellow

Stand at a fixed horizontal distance from the base of the tree (usually $10,15,20,25$ meters, and so on)
a) Sight at the top of the tree and read the value ' A ' (top reading)
b) Again sight at the bottom of the tree and read the value ' B ' (bottom reading)
c) Then the total height of the tree is top reading ' $A$ ' minus bottom reading ' $B$ '
d) Bottom reading +ve or -ve (above and below eye level)

Height measurement can be taken using clinometers as shown figure 2.3.


Figure 2.5: Tree height measurement on a flat terrain

### 2.6.1. Plot size:

Allthe plots of block 01, Block 3, Block 4, Block 6, block 7 and Plot 1 of Block 8 are $40 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m}$. Plot 1 of Block 2 and Block 5 are $28 \mathrm{~m} \times 28 \mathrm{~m}$. Plot 2 of Block 8 is $40 \mathrm{~m} \times 32 \mathrm{~m}$.
Figure :Tree girth measurement (cm) and absent of trees ( x ) in Chon Dean 1 plantation.Sample plot C1 B4 P3.
(i) $40 \mathrm{~m} \times 40 \mathrm{~m}=1600 \mathrm{~m} 2$ size of plots in Chon Dean 1
(ii) $12 \mathrm{~m} \times 12 \mathrm{~m}=144 \mathrm{~m} 2$ plots in Chon Dean 224


| 98 | 115 | x | 92 | x | 91 | x | 89 | x | x |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x | x | x | x | 63 | 71 | x | x | x | x |
| x | 86 | 96 | x | x | x | x | 81 | 67 | x |
| 80 | x | 60 | x | 88 | 65 | x | x | x | 95 |
| x | 69 | 100 | x | x | x | x | x | x | x |
| x | x | x | 92 | x | x | 97 | 95 | x | x |
| x | 103 | x | x | x | 101 | x | 66 | 67 | 74 |
| 80 | x | x | 87 | 61 | x | x | x | 78 | x |
| 71 | 83 | 89 | x | x | 68 | 65 | 85 | 70 | x |
| 72 | 81 | 82 | x | 85 | 59 | 70 | 59 | x | 62 |

## 3. Results of inventory of teak plantation

### 3.1. Estate of Chon Dean 01

Table 3.1. Number of trees and tree mean DBH values in plots in Chon Dean 1

| Plot number (P) | Block 01 |  | Block 02 |  | Block 03 |  | Block 04 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No. of trees | Mean <br> DBH <br> (cm) | No. of Trees | Mean DBH (cm) | No. of Trees | Mean <br> DBH <br> (cm) | No. of Trees | Mean DBH (cm) |
| 1 | 48 | 25.9 | 32 | 23.9 | 57 | 23.5 | 56 | 25.1 |
| 2 | 54 | 22.2 |  |  | 61 | 24.3 | 44 | 27.1 |
| 3 | 53 | 23.5 |  |  |  |  | 45 | 25.5 |
| 4 | 49 | 25.1 |  |  |  |  | 58 | 24.3 |
| 5 | 49 | 24.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean | 50.6 | 24.3 | 32 | 23.9 | 59 | 23.9 | 50.75 | 25.5 |
| TOTAL | 253 |  | 32 |  | 118 |  | 203 |  |


| Plot number (P) | Block 05 |  | Block 06 |  | Block 07 |  | Block 08 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No.of trees | Mean DBH (cm) | No.of Trees | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Mean } \\ & \text { DBH }(\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | No. of Trees | Mean DBH (cm) | No.of Trees | Mean DBH (cm) |
| 1 | 30 | 23.9 | 51 | 26.2 | 60 | 23.2 | 55 | 27.3 |
| 2 |  |  | 51 | 24.4 | 42 | 24.4 | 38 | 31.5 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | 49 | 25.8 |  |  |
| Mean | 30 | 23.9 | 51 | 25.3 | 51 | 24.5 | 46.5 | 29.4 |
| TOTAL | 30 |  | 103 |  | 153 |  | 93 |  |



Figure 3.1: Part of view of Block 01

Graph 3.1 Number of trees against to average DBH range values in Blocks in Chon Dean 1


Out of 253 of trees, 99 trees are having more than $21-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.1. out of 2735 trees, There are 1070 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category


Out of 32 of trees, 11 trees are having more than $21-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.2. out of 209 trees, There are 72 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category


Figure 3.2. Side view of Block 02 in Chon Dean 1


Out of 118 of trees, 38 trees are having more than $21-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.3. out of 982 trees, There are 316 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category

Out of 203 of trees, 94 trees are having more than 21-25 cm dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.4. out of 2026 trees, There are 938 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category


Out of 30 of trees, 12 trees are having more than 21-25 cm dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.5. out of 258 trees, There are 103 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category



Chon dean B8


Out of 103 of trees, 54 trees are having more than $21-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.6. out of 939 trees, There are 492 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category

Out of 153 of trees, 61 trees are having more than $21-25 \mathrm{~cm}$ dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.7. out of 1289 trees, There are 514 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category

Out of 93 of trees, 88 trees are having more than 25-29 cm dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.8. out of 698 trees, There are 292 trees having more than 25-29 cm DBH category

### 3.2. Chon Dean 224

Plantation name: Chon Dean 224- Block No.B2 and Block No.B3


Figure 3.2.1. Side view of Chon Dean 224 -block no. 2

Table 3.1.1. Number of trees and its mean DBH values in Chon Dean 224

| Plot number (P) | Block B2 |  | Block B3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | No.of trees | Mean DBH (cm) | No.of <br> Trees | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean } \\ & \text { DBH (cm) } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | 9 | 17.8 | 8 | 18.4 |
| 2 | 6 | 16.9 | 7 | 23.6 |
| 3 | 5 | 21.4 | 7 | 20.9 |
| 4 | 8 | 19.5 | 6 | 21.4 |
| 5 | 9 | 19.2 | 7 | 22.2 |
| 6 | 5 | 22.7 |  |  |
| 7 | 9 | 21.3 |  |  |
| 8 | 7 | 18.8 |  |  |
| 9 | 8 | 19.7 |  |  |
| 10 | 9 | 20.4 |  |  |
| 11 | 8 | 20.8 |  |  |
| 12 | 5 | 18.7 |  |  |
| 13 | 8 | 19.4 |  |  |
| Mean | 7.4 | 19.7 | 7 | 21.3 |
| TOTAL | 96 |  | (35) |  |



Out of 96 of trees, 29 trees are having more than 1721 cm dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.2. out of 922 trees, There are 278 trees having more than 17-21 cm DBH category


Out of 35 of trees, 6 trees are having more than 2125 cm dbh.

It can be assumed that in block no.3. out of 313 trees, There are 53 trees having more than 21-25 cm DBH category

Table 3.2. Estimated number of trees having more than 21 cm DBH and 17 cm DBH in Chon Dean 01 and Chon dean 224 teak Plantation respectively

| state | Block no. | Larger no. of trees, more than 21cr DBH in Block and its \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2097 (76\%) |
|  | 2 | 150 (72\%) |
|  | 3 | 807 (82\%) |
|  | 4 | 1616 (79\%) |
|  | 5 | 197 (76\%) |
|  | 6 | 802 (85\%) |
| $\begin{aligned} & 5 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | 7 | 1019 (79\% ) |
|  | 8 | 660 (94\%) |
|  | Estate total | 7348(80\%) from 9136 |
|  |  | Larger no. of trees, more than 17 cr DBH in Block and its \% |
|  | B2 | 777 (84\%) |
|  | B3 | 268 (85\%) |
|  | Estate total | 1045 (84.5\%) |

Table 3.3. Comparison of tree parameters between year 2020 and 2021 in Chon Dean 1

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 发 } \\ & \frac{0}{5} \\ & \text { 気 } \end{aligned}$ | Block no. | No. of Plots | Year 2020 |  |  |  | Year 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. of trees measured for DBH | No of trees for ha. | Average DBH <br> (cm) | Ave. <br> Height <br> (m) | No.of trees measured for DBH | No of trees for ha. | Average <br> DBH <br> (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{V} \\ & \mathrm{D}] \\ & 2 \mathrm{C} \\ & 2 \mathrm{C} \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 1 | 5 | 259 | 323 | 23.8 | 20.2 | 253 | 316 | 24.3 | 0.: |
|  | 2 | 1 | 28 | 357 | 23.3 | 19.2 | 32 | 408 | 23.9 | 0.1 |
|  | 3 | 2 | 119 | 371 | 23.5 | 20.5 | 118 | 368 | 23.9 | 0. |
|  | 4 | 4 | 203 | 317 | 25.5 | 20.3 | 203 | 317 | 25.5 | 0 |
|  | 5 | 1 | 30 | 382 | 23.4 | 17.5 | 30 | 382 | 23.9 | 0.: |
|  | 6 | 2 | 103 | 321 | 24.5 | 22 | 103 | 321 | 25.3 | 0.1 |
|  | 7 | 3 | 153 | 318 | 24.6 | 19.6 | 153 | 318 | 24.5 | -0 |
|  | 8 | 2 | 93 | 322 | 29.3 | 25 | 93 | 322 | 29.4 | 0. |
|  | Estate av | erage | 123 |  |  |  |  | 344 | 25 |  |
|  | Total | 20 | 988 |  |  |  | 985 |  |  |  |

Table 3.3.1. Comparison of tree parameters between year 2020 and 2021 in Chon Dean 224 estate

|  | Block no. | No. of Plot S | Year 2020 |  |  |  | Year 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | No. of trees measured for DBH | No of trees for ha. | Average DBH (cm) | Ave. <br> Height <br> (m) | No. of trees measured for DBH | No trees ha. | $\begin{gathered} \text { of } \\ \text { for } \end{gathered}$ | Average DBH (cm) |
|  | B2 | 14 | 97 | 481 | 19,2 | 16.6 | 96 | 476 |  | 19.7 |
| E | B3 | 5 | 35 | 486 | 21.1 | 20 | 35 | 486 |  | 21.3 |
|  | Estate average |  |  | 482 | 20.2 | 18.3 |  | 481 |  | 20.5 |
|  | total | 19 | 132 |  |  |  | 131 |  |  |  |

Table 3.4. Sample plots information, planted area and tree inventory data in year 2021 of Chon Dean 1
Total trees in blocks were not counted due to covid epidemic circumstance . same data of 2020 year was used.


Table 3.4.1. Sample plots information, planted area and tree inventory data in year 2021 of Chon Dean 224


Table 3.5.Thailand Teak Plantation tree count. Comparison Tree Audit 2020-2021
(Due to covid-19 endemic circumstance, some data (good/reserved trees) were not counted in this year)

| Estate Name | Block number | Geophysics count trees 2020 |  |  |  | Geophysics count trees 2021 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Total good trees | Marked for thinning | Reserved trees | Total trees | Total good trees | Marked for thinning | Reserved trees | Toť <br> Cou <br> Last <br> usec |
|  | B1 | 2595 | 39 | 101 | 2735 |  |  |  | 27. |
|  | B2 | 209 | 0 | 0 | 209 |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | B3 | 946 | 15 | 21 | 982 |  |  |  | 98. |
|  | B4 | 2013 | 8 | 5 | 2026 |  |  |  | 20 |
|  | B5 | 240 | 18 | 0 | 258 |  |  |  | 25: |
| Chon Daen 1 | B6 | 915 | 24 | 0 | 939 |  |  |  | 93 |
|  | B7 | 1244 | 40 | 5 | 1289 |  |  |  | 12: |
|  | B8 | 653 | 22 | 23 | 698 |  |  |  | 69 |
|  | Total all blocks | 8815 | 166 | 155 | 9136 |  |  |  | 91. |

Table 3.5.1.Thailand Teak Plantation tree count. Comparison Tree Audit 2020-2021

Due to covid-19 endemic circumstance, Total tree number (good/reserved trees) were not counted in this year)


|  | Total all <br> blocks | 1077 | 158 | 0 | 1235 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 3.6. Form factor calculation with average size felled trees

| 1 | Tree Total height with branches | $22 \mathrm{~m}$ |  | Stem volumeupto 11 m with bark | 0.38 m3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | Clean Tree stem height upto Diameter 16.5 cm | 11 m |  | Stem volume upto 11 m without bark | 0.312 m 3 |
| 3 | Diameter at breast height (ob) | 26 cm | 10 | Tree volume based on total height and Stem mid diameter ( 16.5 cm at 11 m ) (ob) | 0.470 m 3 |
| 4 | Mid diameter of 11 m stem (ob) | 5.5 m | 11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Form factor based on }(10) \text { and } \\ & \text { its cylindrical } \\ & \text { volume }(\mathrm{ob})(7) 0.47 / 1.167 \end{aligned}$ | 0.40 |
| 5 | Small end diameter of 11 m stem(ob) | 16.5 cm | 12 | Form factor based on stem volume upto 11 m (8) and cylindrical volume of (7) height. 0.38/1.167 | 0.32 |
| 6 | Bark thickness at one point | 13 mm | 13 | \% of Clean stem timber volume from total volume (upto 16.5 | 80\% |
| 7 | Cylindrical volume of total height of tree $(22 \mathrm{~m})(\mathrm{Ob})$ | 1.167 m 3 |  | cm diameter of 11 m length) |  |

Table 3.7. Determination of site index based on growth parameters of past years of Chon Dean 1 and Chon Dean 224
Chon Dean 1 and Chon Dean 224 plantation age is assumed as 21 years and 15 years old respectively.

|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \frac{y}{0} \\ & \frac{0}{2} \\ & \frac{0}{0} \\ & \dot{8} \end{aligned}$ | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | DBH dif 2013 to : (Mean I) DBH) (c increme |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Ave. DBH <br> (cm) | Ave. <br> DBH <br> (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { DBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Ave. DBH (cm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { DBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ave. } \\ & \text { DBH } \\ & (\mathrm{cm}) \end{aligned}$ | Ave. DBH (cm) | Ave. DBH <br> (cm) | Ave. DBH(cm) |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { Iた } \\ & \text { ö } \\ & \text { む. } \end{aligned}$ | 1 | 5 | 19.4 | 19.8 | 20.6 | 20.7 | 22.2 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 23.8 | 24.3 | 4.9 (1. |
|  | 2 | 1 | 17.7 | 18.1 | 19.0 | 18.9 | 21.1 | 22.6 | 23.4 | 23.3 | 23.9 | 6.2 (1. |
|  | 3 | 2 | 18.3 | 19.2 | 19.5 | 19.5 | 21.2 | 22.5 | 23.4 | 23.5 | 23.9 | 5.6 (1.1 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 19.4 | 19.5 | 21.3 | 21.5 | 23.3 | 24.7 | 25.3 | 25.5 | 25.5 | 6.1 (1. |
|  | 5 | 1 | 19.9 | 19.8 | 21.2 | 21.5 | 22.7 | 23.3 | 23.7 | 23.4 | 23.9 | 4 (1.1 |
|  | 6 | 2 | 19.4 | 18.9 | 20.4 | 20.5 | 22.6 | 23.9 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 25.3 | 5.9 (1.2 |
|  | 7 | 3 | 18.9 | 18.6 | 20.8 | 21.1 | 22.8 | 23.8 | 24.3 | 24.6 | 24.5 | 5.6 (1.1 |
|  | 8 | 2 | 19.9 | 22.2 | 24.4 | 24.7 | 27.2 | 28.8 | 29.2 | 29.3 | 29.4 | 9.5 (1. |
|  | Esta aver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { E } \\ & \text { Ü } \end{aligned}$ | B2 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  | 17.2 |  | 19.2 | 19.7 | (1. |
|  | B3 | 5 |  |  |  |  |  | 19.9 |  | 21.1 | 21.3 | (1 |

## Estate

average

## 4. Recommendation

Pruning of the adventitious shoots should be carried out only after required training given under close supervision.

1. Control fire or fire lines must be properly maintained.
2. Application of soil improvement method and soil erosion prevention methods must be applied where site has steep slope. Erosion of the soil conditions due to the harrowing carried out in the past.
3. Root system of uprooted trees should be closely monitored at regular basis if termite causes or help for decaying of roots.

Finally it can be concluded that both teak plantation are healthy and good condition. Plantation is much more potential with site to get more growth increment particularly for diameter growth for next 5 years if the plantation is maintained and managed scientifically.

DR.NimalRuwanpathirana (Ph.D., M.Sc (forestry), B.sc (Bio. Science)
Consultant for forest management and wood science


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} H_{0}$ : top height $(\mathrm{m}) ; N$ : number of stems $/ \mathrm{ha} ; D_{\mathrm{g}}$ : quadratic mean diameter at breast of height $(\mathrm{cm}) ; G$ : basal area $\left(\mathrm{m}^{2} / \mathrm{ha}\right) ; V$ : commercial volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ); Vt: commercial volume accumulated in thinnings ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ); Hart: Hart-Becking index; VT: total commercial volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ ); MAI: mean increment of volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} /$ ha per year); CAI: current increment of volume ( $\mathrm{m}^{3} / \mathrm{ha}$ per year).

